



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_7714
Title of the Manuscript:	Multistage HPM applied to path tracking damped oscillations of a model for HIV infection of CD4+ T cells
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	See attached file	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. On page 1, Introduction, "are mainly dedicated to ght against diseases" should be "are mainly dedicated to hight against diseases". And "the ecient path tracking" should be "the efficient path tracking" 2. On page 3, system (3.2) is not compatible with (2.4). That should be Checked. Also, the table 1 should be after Section 3. 3. On page 4, "After the rst three iterations of MuHPM method" should be "After the first three iterations of MuHPM method". 4. Include and comment in the manuscript a recent and related works: - Dynamics of HIV Infection Model with Therapy and Cure Rate, Int. J. of Tomography and Statistics, 16 (11) (2011) 74–80. - Two optimal treatments of HIV infection model, World Journal of Modelling and Simulation, 8 (2012) 27-35. - Optimal Control of a Delayed HIV Infection Model with Immune Response Using an Efficient Numerical Method, ISRN Biomathematics, (2012), 7 pages. - A Delay differential equation model of HIV with therapy and cure Rate. Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. 12 (2011) 503–512.	1. - The reviewer is right, the corrections are now done. 2. - The equations were checked, I am angree with the reviewer, there was missing the dummy variable "v" now the equations is corrected. 3. - The reviewer is right, the corrections are now done. 4. - A comment was added to the text about all the references suggested by the reviewer and the references were cited in the text. All the corrections are marked in red colour in the text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	See attached file	